

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,913	06/16/2005	Marianne Hammer-Altmann	10191/4139	8406
26646 KENYON & K	7590 07/02/200 XENYON I LP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD	WAY		KOSLOW, CAROL M	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,913	HAMMER-ALTMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
C. Melissa Koslow	1793		

	C. Melissa Koslow	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying t	ne issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 10,14 and 20 would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	· ·						
7. Mor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14 and 15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 9-13 and 20-22.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13 and 20-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu the amendment was not entered. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/C. Melissa Koslow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Continuation of 3, NOTE: There is no teaching or indication in the originally filled disclosure that the starting mixture of claims 14 and 15 can be further doped with at least one other rare earth metal, such as La or Nd. It is noted that WO 02/055450 was not incorporated by reference and thus the teachings in that reference are not part of the disclosure. In addition the amendment to claim 21, changing the dependency and thus composition, of claim 21 requires a new search for the actuator and fuel injection system of amended claims 21 and 22.

Continuation of 13. Other: It is noted that amended claim 15 is directed to the same subject matter as amended claim 10 and thus amended claims 10 and 15 would be duplicate claims if the amendment was entered. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP 706.03(k).